

Message

From: LEE, LILY [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=D6085A744F9347E6836C54C0E85B97B2-LLEE06]
Sent: 1/26/2018 7:22:09 PM
To: Bacey, Juanita@DTSC [Juanita.Bacey@dtsc.ca.gov]
Subject: Examples of the signs of falsification where the Navy did not recommend for resampling
Attachments: EPA comments on draft Rad Data Eval Parcels B G Text 12-29-2019.pdf

I got your voicemail Here is an excerpt from our cover letter:

“In Parcel G, out of the 43 trench units that the Navy had not already recommended resampling:

- Over half had inconsistencies between gamma scan and static data and over one-third had other types of inconsistencies (e.g. on-site and off-site lab results differ by more than 10 times, plots showed signs that multiple sources of soil were likely in the data set, etc.)
- In a third, the narrow range of gamma static data indicates measurements were not collected from different locations, as required.
- In six, some data were missing so some evaluations could not be done.
- In a few trench units, biased sample results appeared lower than other data sets. Biased samples are supposed to be collected in locations of highest scan results, so they would be expected to be higher, not lower, than other data sets collected in random locations.
- Other concerns were found through data evaluation, and most trench units showed red flags of multiple types. “